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Introduction

The diagnosis and treatment of orofacial pain are challenges to the clinician as well as frustrating to the one afflicted. At least two reasons account for these observations. Anatomically, the orofacial region is one of the most highly innervated areas of the human body, especially the oral cavity. Referred pain patterns, collateral innervation, and multiple innervations of structures all confuse a person’s perception as to the location of the pain generator (i.e., a lesion or injured structure). This honest confusion complicates the doctor’s diagnostic attempts.

In addition, the head and face are subject to chronic or recurring pain more than any other portion of the body. A 1983 study revealed that the average facial pain sufferer sought relief from at least six separate practitioners in their quest for an answer to their orofacial pain. Thus, dentists engaged in general practice, treating the numerous, common dental conditions which produce pain, have a difficult task.

In this brief treatise, the topic of diagnosing neuralgia inducing cavitation osteonecrosis (NICO) of the jaws will be addressed. However, before that subject is introduced, attention must be given to the differential diagnosis of a common and similar disorder, trigeminal neuralgia.

Trigeminal Neuralgia

Cranial neuralgias are real management problems and often the most frustrating disorders to evaluate and treat. Unfortunately, dental students, residents and medical students are taught that sharp, severe pain in and about the distribution of the trigeminal nerve is trigeminal neuralgia, or tic douloureux. Rarely is there a distinction between what are actually two separate disorders; namely typical and atypical trigeminal neuralgia.

Typical Trigeminal Neuralgia

Typical trigeminal neuralgia (TTN), or what is usually

(and correctly) termed *tic douloureux*, may be subdivided into either idiopathic or symptomatic. The actual cause of idiopathic is not known, but the literature provides some interesting ideas. There have been reports that pulpal infection, pulpal inflammation, or pulpal removal (by endodontic therapy or tooth extraction) may be a major cause of idiopathic TTN.\textsuperscript{4,5,6} Further, the formation of osteocavitational lesions, or NICO lesions, at former extraction sites or apical to endodontically treated teeth are now implicated as yet another cause of idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia.\textsuperscript{7,8,9}

As a side note, just think what we, as dentists, may be doing to contribute to the development of trigeminal neuralgia with all the unnecessary removal of third molars and worse yet, endodontic procedures we perform each year in an attempt to practice as organized dentistry dictates and state dental boards demand.

In contrast to idiopathic typical trigeminal neuralgia, the symptomatic type is produced by any neurological deficit, intracranial lesion, or impingement of the trigeminal nerve by aberrant vessels or connective tissues. Although such neurological diseases as aneurysms, multiple sclerosis and brain tumors (e.g., meningioma, epidermoid cyst, acoustic neuroma) produce TTN within the cranium, the most common cause is compression of the trigeminal rootlets as they exit the pons by the superior cerebellar artery. Dandy\textsuperscript{10} first reported this in 1934 and Jannetta\textsuperscript{11} perfected the surgical procedure to correct the problem.

The clinical features of typical trigeminal neuralgia, whether idiopathic or symptomatic, are (Table 1):
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\end{itemize}
1. Paroxysmal facial pain confined to the distribution of the trigeminal nerve
2. Sharp, burning, electrical pain produced by touch the face, chewing, shaving, apply make-up, talking, washing the face, brushing teeth, or even kissing
3. Pain that is usually unilateral with slightly greatly predilection for the right side
4. Onset of pain generally after age 50
5. The second division is affected most often, with the third division affected far more often than the first division
6. Normal neurological findings

The diagnosis of TTN is usually based on history, negative brain scans (MRI and CT scans) for tumors and aneurysms, and positive response to medication. If an aberrant vessel is seen on MRI evaluation overlying the trigeminal nerve, neurosurgery is usually indicated and pharmacological management will be ineffective for any length of time.

**Atypical Trigeminal Neuralgia**

In contrast to typical trigeminal neuralgia, atypical trigeminal neuralgia (ATN) is far more common and yet, most often misdiagnosed as *tic douloureux*. In over 22 years of practice, with the past 16 years limited to the diagnosis and treatment of facial pain disorders, I have only seen approximately 20 actual cases of TTN, but have diagnosed several hundred cases of the atypical type. Atypical trigeminal neuralgia is also known as idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia and pre-trigeminal neuralgia.

As with TTN, ATN has no known specific cause. However, in my clinical experience, crushing or compression of nerves (e.g., with surgical flap retraction), transection of nerves (either from trauma or surgical incision), blunt trauma to the face, or routine dental procedures (e.g., typical local anesthetic injection or an endodontic procedure) have been common causes.

Symptoms of ATN include (Table 1):

1. Constant pain with an aching quality that seems to arise from deep within the bone or soft tissue
2. Exacerbations of sharp, shooting, electrical type pains concurrently with the deeper, constant aching pain
3. Unilateral pain that follows the distribution of one division of the trigeminal nerve
4. The presence of trigger areas or zones which are frequently, but not consistently, intraoral
5. No specific age boundaries

The diagnosis of ATN should be based on history of the disorder, response to diagnostic anesthetic injections, and the results of imaging findings. The most important diagnostic procedure a doctor can perform to diagnose ATN is giving an anesthetic injection. If the pain complaints are totally relieved, then both doctor and patient can be assured (generally) the
Neuralgia is of extracranial and not intracranial in origin. This is extremely important in determining the prognosis of any future treatment.

If an anesthetic injection stops the patient’s pain symptoms and radiographs reveal bony abnormalities, then one must highly suspect a NICO lesion or lesions.

Conservative treatment of ATN consists of the use of medications, localized injections of anti-inflammatory medications (I prefer Sarapin\textsuperscript{12} as it has all the good qualities of cortisone without the side-effects) mixed with local anesthetic. These injections often need to be repeated every two to four weeks.

If conservative therapy fails, then the most effective surgical procedure for the treatment of ATN is percutaneous radiofrequency thermoneurolysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Typical Trigeminal Neuralgia</th>
<th>Atypical Trigeminal Neuralgia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Sharp, Electrical, Shooting</td>
<td>Dull, Deep Aching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Seconds</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Paroxysmal</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>V2 &amp; V3 usually</td>
<td>All 3 Divisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggers</td>
<td>Extra-Oral</td>
<td>Varies: Extra and Intra-Oral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Neuralgia Inducing Cavitational Osteonecrosis**

As stated at the beginning of this article, chronic orofacial pain is both common and frustrating to the patient and doctor. A 23.3% risk of developing chronic facial pain exists by age 50 and a 33.8% risk by age 70.\textsuperscript{13} Many researchers and clinicians alike contend that peripheral neural damage is a major etiological factor for the development of trigeminal-like pain. This

\textsuperscript{12}. High Chemical Company, Levittown, PA.

Peripheral theory states that a low-grade, chronic, intraosseous infection near a branch of the trigeminal nerve may produce neuronal degeneration or demyelination producing inappropriate nociceptive signals to the central nervous system (Figure 1). This typically is explained by neuralgia inducing cavitation osteonecrosis (NICO) or cavitational lesions.

Figure 1: Diagrammatic representation of NICO lesions.
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Simply defined, osteonecrosis is dead bone; osteomyelitis is inflammation of the bone marrow and adjacent bone. In 1915, G. V. Black\textsuperscript{16} first described these jawbone lesions as follows: “An osteomyelitis-like bone disease which seemed not to be a true infection, but rather a slow, progressive, unexplained death of cancellous bone and marrow, cell by cell.” These lesions were not new to dentistry, for dental surgeons in the United States accepted this theory in the 19\textsuperscript{th} century.\textsuperscript{15,16} The theory of osteomyelitic and osteonecrotic jawbone lesions producing trigeminal neuralgia-like pains is supported by laboratory evidence that Gasserian ganglion degeneration occurs after damage to the pulp of teeth\textsuperscript{17,18} and by the high rate of relief of long-term trigeminal neuralgia pain after surgical treatment of the jawbone cavitations which failed to respond to traditional medical procedures.

The thought that osteocavitations of the jawbones produce trigeminal pain has not only recently gained acceptance in the United States\textsuperscript{19}, but also in Germany,\textsuperscript{20} Russia,\textsuperscript{21} China,\textsuperscript{22,23} and Great Britain.\textsuperscript{24}
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The symptoms of NICO are:

1. A history of undiagnosed facial and cervical pain
2. A history of tooth extraction, which may have occurred decades earlier
3. The presence of trigger areas
4. Normal radiographic findings

Patients suffering from cavitational lesions will generally have a history of tooth extraction perhaps many years before the onset of pain complaints. Also, a common history includes the placement of a large restoration or crown, followed by the development of sensitivity or pain, neither of which can be resolved. The patient then demands or the doctor recommends endodontic therapy, but unfortunately, the pain continues.

Etiological factors known to influence the development of NICO lesions include:

1. Trauma, which may be blunt trauma to the jaws or trauma from dental procedures such as routine oral and periodontal surgical procedures
2. History of a large dental restoration followed by the development of pulpitis and ultimately, chronic periapical periodontitis
3. Heavy and/or chronic use of systemic cortisone
4. Use of birth control pills
5. Estrogen replacement therapy
6. Radiation therapy
7. Variable atmospheric pressures in occupation
8. Alcoholism
9. Sickle cell anemia
10. Heavy cigarette smoking
11. Pregnancy
12. Thrombophilia
13. Hypofibrinolysis

The underlying microscopic problem is vascular insufficiency, with intramedullary

*These findings are considered normal as generally taught in dental schools and residency programs. However, as one becomes experienced in diagnosing NICO lesions, the radiographic appearance can be described as anything but normal (see Figure 3).
hypertension and multiple intraosseous infarctions occurring over time.\textsuperscript{25,26} In other words, an occlusion of one or several of the tiny intramedullary vessels by thrombi within the maxilla or mandible occurs, producing ischemic changes distal to the vessel blockage, ultimately resulting in the development of osteomyelitis and/or osteonecrosis. These thrombi may be caused by rigid erythrocytes blocking the vessels of the bone marrow (Figure 2). Glueck achieved a substantial breakthrough when he reported that a majority (65\% to 87\%) of osteonecrosis patients had major hereditary or acquired clotting disorders that had not previously been diagnosed or even suspected.\textsuperscript{27,28}

\textit{Figure 2: Gross appearance of a large NICO lesion.}
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\caption{Gross appearance of a large NICO lesion.}
\end{figure}

\begin{itemize}
\end{itemize}
Radiographic features are quite interesting. Initially, one unreliable diagnostic tool was the radiograph, because features which were taught as being normal (e.g., ghost images, laminar rain, retained outline of extracted roots of teeth), were dismissed as being insignificant. Many cases cannot be identified even by experienced diagnosticians simply because osteonecrosis is primarily a disease of cancellous bone and that 30% to 50% of cancellous bone must be destroyed before radiographic changes become evident.29

However, it is now recognized that approximately 75% of these patients demonstrate radiographically visible vertical remnants of lamina dura. In addition, many patients display a relatively complete, albeit faint sometimes faint, outline of the former tooth roots. Other radiographic signs are ragged, zigzagged vertical remnants of the lamina dura and apparent remodeling or healing of the apical portion of the socket (Figure 3).

Panoramic radiographs are very useful in evaluating suspected patients. Periapical radiographs are more diagnostic and reliable, however. At time, bone scans using the radioisotope technetium-99 are also helpful, but difficult to interpret in the orofacial regions.

In addition to radiographic evaluation, probably the best diagnostic tool is the use of anesthetic blocking. McMahon et al\textsuperscript{30} embellished Ratner’s\textsuperscript{8} work concerning anesthetic confirmation of NICO lesions. Briefly, because products of inflammation can sensitize branches of the trigeminal nerve, the clinician must search for patches of unanesthetized gingivae that may be signaling underlying pulpal or intrabony pathoses (Figure 4).

The most common location of NICO lesions is the mandibular third molar region, with the first molar region being the next common location (Table 2). The least common areas are the mandibular central and lateral incisor areas.

Table 2: Location of NICO lesions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alveolar location</th>
<th>Maxilla</th>
<th>Mandible</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor area</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor area</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupid area</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First bicuspis area</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second bicuspis area</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First molar area</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second molar area</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third molar area *</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51.5 %</td>
<td>48.5 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes tuberocity and retromolar areas

Treatment of NICO lesions always requires surgical removal of the affected bone, whether the actual diagnosis is osteomyelitis or osteonecrosis. I realize this is a controversial statement to members of the International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology since many of our members prefer to use homeopathic and natural remedies. Unfortunately, if an infected bony area does not have a blood supply, no remedy, be it homeopathic or allopathic, can be distributed within the region. Also, localized injections of homeopathic remedies using intrabony injections (e.g., the Stabident system) have not proven to be successful. Thus, only surgery gives the patient a chance for any degree of recovery.

Generally, after reflection of a full thickness flap, the cortical plate of the bone is removed and the offending bony tissue is removed with curettage, taking special care to avoid the inferior alveolar nerve and vascular supply in the mandible and the maxillary sinus, if possible, in the maxillary. Oftentimes, the contents of the inferior alveolar canal must be moved while the pathologic bony tissue is removed and at times, the nerve and blood vessels must be relocated within the mandible (Figure 5).

---

18Stabident intraosseous infiltration system, Fairfax Dental, Miami, Florida.
In the maxilla, it is common that the maxillary sinus is affected and therefore, must be entered and the floor and/or walls reconstructed.

Also, any teeth located within these pathologic regions must be removed and generally, they are shown to be non-vital upon post-operative examination.

Lastly, recently we have discovered that many of those afflicted with NICO lesions are also suffering with yeast infections, both localized in the questionable bony regions and systemically. Therefore, our surgical success has been improved by placing patients on Cefzil 250 mg twice a day a week prior to surgery and at least 30 days after surgery. Also, when symptoms seem to continue post-operatively, prescribing Diflucan 400 mg the first day and 200 mg once daily for at least 4 weeks.
Summary

As dental practitioners, we ultimately encounter most orofacial pain complaints. Understanding trigeminal neuralgia and NICO lesions is of the utmost importance, lest patients continue to suffer and receive inappropriate and unnecessary treatments.
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